Common Law Essentials

Knowledge you can use immediately

This document was created by Joshua, @S0v3r31gntyR1s1ng on telegram

For more info like this please join: https://t.me/CommonLawEssentials https://t.me/awarriorcalls

If you desire to ask questions regarding this document, or a matter you would like help with, please do so via telegram. If you feel compelled to express your gratitude through a financial gift, you are welcome to direct them to inluminator@protonmmail.com

Declaration of Intent

I, Joshua Inluminator, a man hereby declare that I created this document with the intention of sharing the knowledge here within so as to help all [wo]men stand in their own power and reclaim the unalienable rights they were born with. This document was created to the best of my abilities and is a collection of the knowledge that I have gathered.

The information contained in this document is intended to be shared freely and openly with all [wo]men. The knowledge contained within is the property of the people. Any who claim payment for said information is committing a trespass against the people and are liable to repair the damages caused.

Statement of Facts

I came across this knowledge as a result of being wrongfully accused of a crime. A woman, whom shall not be named, filed criminal charges against me after I had entered her apartment so as to protect her property, a dog, whom was in an incredible amount of distress. Despite this, I was arrested within hours of this woman filing the charges and due to my lack of knowledge regarding law was brought to a holding center for a period of 22 hours. The cell I was placed in would easily be described as unsanitary and unethical. At no point during the arrest or my time in the holding center was I given full open disclosure of the circumstances, the charges that were pressed against me, when I would be released and was denied the ability to notify my family of my whereabouts. Within the evidence to support the charges were false statements and accusations (made by the woman and a witness she coerced into corroborating her story) and text messages taken out of context so as to portray me as someone not to be trusted.

In order to leave the holding center, I was forced to sign a release order stating I agreed to find a new place to live in with only two visits allowed at my current apartment (one just after my release to grab essential items and the second to move any remaining property), remain a minimum distance of 200m away from the woman and the witness. Upon my release, I was denied sufficient access (time) to my property, apartment, so as to protect the lives of my two rabbits. One of which nearly died before I was able to find someone to help care for them. Naturally, I felt something was deeply wrong with this circumstance and felt compelled to uncover the truth of what had occurred.

However, the legal aid I had been given failed to provide me the evidence (until I demanded it), delayed unnecessarily in their communication, and did not give full open disclosure. As a result, my family and I educated ourselves any way we could, reading the criminal codes (of both Quebec and Canada), talking to other lawyers, and even exploring whatever else information we could find. Graciously, my mother came across a man known as Christopher James, creator of aWarriorCalls, who was sharing knowledge of common law openly and freely with all.

It was from this moment onward that I dedicated all of my time to learning everything I could about common law. I had to sort through countless conflicting statements and claims about what it is, what can be done with it, where it is applicable, and even how this knowledge has been used against us. As I continued to grow in my comprehension of this knowledge, I actively used it within the legal system here in Quebec. Everytime I used this knowledge I noticed how the [wo]men of this system would get uncomfortable and evasive, which for me was an indication that there was merit to it.

As I continued to explore the limits of this knowledge, I was able to document and uncover something that I believe should be known by all [wo]men. The truth I uncovered was that our legal system has been created and designed to strip us of our power and profiting from it, thus rendering us slaves.

In essence, it starts when we are born with our mother and/or father, unknowingly, entering into a contract and transferring our estate (our property) into the care of the government. From there we and anything we create or own is placed under our person. In doing this the government is able to hand us back our unalienable rights as privileges, the privilege to own property, use said property, conduct commerce, even to speak freely. Simply put if it is taxed, registered, certified, or constitutionalized it has been converted into a privilege. Unfortunately, this isn't the full extent of the corruption I discovered.

When someone enters the legal system, as I did, they are treated as a person and not as a [wo]man. This is done so as to continue with the extraction of anything of value from your estate. Please note this isn't the case with all legal matters, I am specifically referring to when someone is charged with a crime. How the system is able to make more money off you is by placing your estate in the care of the judge with you being the one paying for any bills, whether stated or secret, and the crown prosecutor as the government agent to submit the bill they are attempting to make you pay.

If you happen to be found guilty, in any way shape or form, you then pay the bill, whether through your estate or your currently accessible finances (often times it is a combination of both). What this means is so long as you are the guilty party in the current legal system there very likely is a hidden exchange of money being made on your estate without you even knowing about it.

To continue in the spirit of full and open disclosure, at the time of writing this, I am taking my matter up in the Supreme Court of Canada, whereby I have submitted my claims, notice of trespass and filed for criminal charges against the directors of the government organization, known as the DPCP (Directeurs des Poursuites Criminalles et Penales). This also includes notifying the Chief Justice of Canada, Richard Wagner. These [wo]men who are agents of the DPCP, known as Patrick Michel, Martin Chalifour, and Anny Bernier, have actively and continuously trespassed against me (and my property), whether by failing to drop the charges (after I provided all evidence to prove my innocence), repairing the damage caused, hold the woman who filled the wrongful charges accountable, by committing acts of terror against me by placing warrants for my arrest, or by actively denying my access to the courts so that I may receive justice for the wrongdoing caused.

> I have every intention of continuing to pursue justice until it has been appropriately been served, whether it be for myself or the people of canada or of the earth. No [wo]man should be denied justice what is rightly owed them. We were all created equal and therefore this knowledge is the property of the people so as to aid in repairing any damage that has been caused.

Applications/Uses of the information contained

- Protect yourself and your property from those who may cause harm/damage
- Uphold your unalienable rights
- Correct all and any corruption that has occurred in our world
- Hold those accountable who have trespassed against you, us, the people and you/our property
- Bring clarity around laws and remove any confusion surrounding them
- Remove the need for any unnecessary, or confusing, laws
- Reclaim ownership of your estate and your property
- Learn how to act as a public servant and be in true and genuine service to others
- Learn how to harness the laws of manifestation to create the life you so desire

Keywords - (Grouped with like words)

Agent - a [wo]man who is acting on behalf of a corporate entity, corporation, or another [wo]man.

- **Person** a corporate entity the exists in the public domain. Can be natural (connected to a living [wo]man) or dead (in care of another while the living [wo]man is incapacitated, often the corporate government).
- **Public** anything to do with corporate activities that serve the people and their property. Everything in this domain must provide a service, or be in service of the people. This includes all government activity.
- Private anything associated to living [wo]men and their personal lives/property.
- **Property** anything that is owned, inherited or created. This can be our body, mind, emotions or anything else connected to our life energy (spirit).
- *Ownership* If you created it, or inherited it, you may claim ownership. Your identity (person) and anything that which you create is your rightful property. No one may change, alter, or claim ownership without the approval by you. In some cases, there is shared ownership, in the case of this planet and that which exists naturally, all parties are responsible for the care of said property. Furthermore, no one [wo]man may claim it as their property because it is shared by all. This also applies to the public, which is the property of all [wo]men. Divisions can be created so as to simply help in placing responsibility of care to a given [wo]man or group. However, this must be in the benefit of all who inherited the property, like in the case with earth.
- Jurisdiction an area of control. If you have jurisdiction then you have the authority to determine what occurs with a property, or service. Just as the people have jurisdiction over the public domain so too does a [wo]man have jurisdiction over something [s]he creates.
- **Contract** legal or lawful demonstration of agreement. Can also be called Consent or an Agreement. Legal is between corporations. Lawful is between [wo]men. Can be implied or explicit. Implied means that there is assumed consent until it is rebutted or denied. Explicit means it has been openly stated consent has been given. Will be discussed in greater detail later on in this document.
- **Documentation/evidence** anything you create that confirms any claims you may make. I highly recommend you record and document everything you can. Having clear abundant evidence strengthens your claim and ability to protect yourself or your property. It will also help prove a trespass has occurred and you can receive reparations for the damage caused.
- **Civil Law** a codified system of law that pertains to only corporations or corporate entities. Contains citizens (also known as persons) but not people.
- *Common Law* laws of the Land, Air, Water (Property/Real, Trust/Equity, Maritime/Commerce) that are common to an individual or a group of people. Also known as laws of the provable self evident world, the laws of the mind, and the laws of the emotions which make up natural law. Will be discussed in greater detail later on in this document.
- Law in essence it is a truth defined and clarified
- **Belief** an idea adopted that shapes one's perception of reality. Can be changed or alter so as to create, or tune into, a different reality.
- **Claim** a statement/declaration made by a [wo]man. If it is "verified" it means it has sufficient evidence to make it undeniable or irrefutable, this can be achieved through documentation or witnesses.
- **Disclaimer** a statement of refusing responsibility, or right, over property or a service provided, thus placing responsibility on the party using the service. A common misunderstanding regarding this is it prevents those stating the disclaimer from being liable for any damage caused. However, this is false and in truth liability can never be fully waived. If the action, or damage, be traced back to the one stating the disclaimer and can be verified they are still liable for repairing the damage.

Argument - a dispute between corporate entities or corporations that often requires a mediator.

Trespass - when a [wo]man harms or damages the property of another. Harm can occur physically, emotionally, mentally.

- **Repairs/Reparations** an action taken to correct any trespass and damaged that has been committed. This can take many forms but the most common is through the payment of an invoice, the value of which is determined by the one trespassed against. In determining the value be sure to make it as verifiable as possible. When in the case of something priceless, such as life energy or time or mental well being, the amount should be sufficient to allow you to repair any damage caused. Intentionality is crucial in determining the appropriate value, ie. what do you intend on doing to repair the damage.
- *Notice* A document stating to another party, whether a [wo]man or a corporate entity, of a trespass that has bee committed. This includes evidence of the trespass, cost of repairs, a demand to cease, and costs should any further damage be caused.
- **Demand** a notice used to require a party to provide something. Has often been used to require a party to prove the existence of a contract, claims of ownership, or by what right they take action. See resources for a link to jeeseonthebrink, where a sample declaration/demand has been provided.
- **Declaration** in essence a statement of claims. This can be used to declare the ending of a contract (and the reasons why), that a trespass has been committed (with supporting evidence), a claim of ownership (with supporting evidence), or a declaration of status (a lengthy topic with in common law that I will save for another document). Other forms exist however most common ones have been provided. See resources for a link to jeeseonthebrink, where a sample declaration/demand has been provided.

Right - freedom or liberty to do something.

- **Privilege** being granted the freedom or liberty to do something by a superior being. This typically applies to privileges granted to corporations via the government. However, it can also apply to people and their property, ie. granting another the privilege of staying at your home.
- **Duty/Obligation** a requirement to fulfill an accept term of a contract or agreement. In terms of the public, anyone who as in the public has the duty to act in the benefit of the people, so long as it does not affect their ability to enjoy their own private life. However, to end a duty or obligation the [wo]man step down from the role they had agreed to act as. Some duties are non-terminable, cannot end, like in the case where we have a duty to ourselves and our property. Should we fail to honour and care for our property the sole responsibility is ours alone. This can apply to anything physical, emotional or mental.
- [wo]man used to refer to both women and men in the shortest and simplest way. Is interchangeable between either sex and is intended to be inclusive of all who are among the living.

Born - to give life to. Pertains to anything that is living.

Birth - based off of the word berth, which is a place where something rests and is not active, awake, conscious.

Scenario Walk-through

So how do you deal with people or agents (people who are acting as a representative for a corporation) who are attempting to get you to do something you do not want to do? (this could be literally anything, say a ticket, arrests, entering a building, taking your property, and so on)

Well, first they typically will ask if your name is _____.

I recommend not answering this with a Yes in any form. The reason is because doing so is a demonstration of consent, or agreement. What you can say instead is,

"That is my person. However you can call me _____"

What this does is make you an agent and lawfully separates you from your person. From that moment onward you then stand as a [wo]man above any jurisdiction they may claim over you, as an agent of the corporation. They ask for your name in this manner because they want you to "act" as your person and not as a

[wo]man, whether they do this knowingly or not. For when you are a person you fall within their jurisdiction and they can act in a more free manner, even if it infringes upon your unalienable rights, those of the people.

You can also say before responding to their question,

"Before proceeding, please identify yourself and your purpose of being here."

By law, as a public servant they are required to identify themselves. Should they fail to do so, you have every right to not engage with them in any manner. Beyond that if they should infringe upon your rights and act as if there is a contract, even if you mistakenly agreed to one, that contract will be deemed as null and void in a court of law. Ultimately, if they continue in any manner without a legal contract (I will specify the criteria later on), they make themselves, as agents ([wo]men), and the corporation liable should any laws be broken, or they trespass against you or your property.

Be aware that by asking for your name they are inviting you into a contract, that is if they aren't being aggressive about it (I will touch more on how to deal with this later). This is because in order to do anything they must have a contract, or consent, in some form or another.

At any point to ensure no contract has been implied you can state this simple and easy phrase,

"I will not contract with you. I do not consent. I will not answer any of your questions."

By doing this you deny them being able to act as if there is a contract of any kind, even if it means leaving behind a piece of paper, which you can deny them as well.

Should they attempt to proceed as if there is a contract state to them,

"Do not ______ (enter my property, leave any documents, touch my body, touch my property, or whatever else they may be attempting and you would not like them to do)"

You are also free to demand they tell you what they are doing or attempting to do. Again they must openly tell you, if they don't and act in a manner that assumes there is a contract it makes them and the corporation liable.

Another powerful tool is to place the burden of proof on their shoulders. This can be done a variety of ways via asking questions and/or denying any of their statements. For denial, this simple maxim explains it perfectly, "He who affirms, not he who denies, must bear the burden of proof." This is taken from "A Collection of Legal Maxims" by S. S. Peloubet, a widely recognized book from the Harvard Law library, a link to it is provided in the Legal Maxims section.

How you place the burden of proof is via a statement of denial or by asking questions. If this is directed to a [wo]man and there is no contract and no trespass has been committed, they are not required to respond. However, if they are a public servant, acting as an agent, they are obligated to respond. This is because to act with secrecy in any form is to no longer be in the public, which cannot be done when a [wo]man is in the uniform of a corporation, like that of the government. All things done in the public must be done openly with full transparency. Whoever fails to do so accepts responsibility as a [wo]man, this also includes those acting as directors, with the corporation also being liable.

Some great questions to ask any [wo]man who is acting in their public capacity,

"By what right do you claim i as your property?" "By what right you claim jurisdiction over _____?" "Do you have a verified claim proving i caused harm to my fellow [wo]man?" "Is there a contract whereby i, as a [wo]man, am placed under your jurisdiction?" "By what right do you claim ownership over _____?"

Denial is quite simple as it can be done at any moment during a scenario. It is a matter of saying no and stating clearly what you would not like the other individual not to do.

It is important to note, should there be any confusion during the scenario, it is the responsibility of the party who is confused to demand clarification. Should the [wo]man acting in the private not like to clarify, or it not be required of them (like in the case where there was no contract to begin with), they have no obligation to do so. Any [wo]man acting in their public capacity is always obligated to provide clarification so long as it pertains to their public duties and obligations.

Contracts

It is important to note that a contract can only be created between like parties. Essentially what this means is a [wo]man can only contract with another [wo]man and not with any corporate entities. Likewise, a corporate entity can only contract with other corporate entities and not with [wo]men. This is why each and every [wo]men have a person that they are agents for. In essence, this person is their public identity that serves as a vehicle for commerce to be able to interact with the creations of other [wo]men in the public. As a brief side note, there are also private creations and forms of commerce, however they are conducted differently than ones in the public, in this document I will only be discussing those in the public.

Implied Consent Contracts

These are the weakest and easiest contracts to challenge in a court of law. This is because the burden of proof is on the party implying consent has been given. The moment you deny consent, or state consent has not been given, they cannot proceed with the contract any further, doing so would make them liable for any damage caused. Also, you can demand proof of consent. Doing this requires the other party to provide proof of consent being given before proceeding further with the contract.

It is important to note, that if you continue using the services, or product, being exchanged in the contract this is an act of implied consent. Therefore if you intend to end the contract or demand proof of consent be sure you do continue using the service afterwards. A great way to think of it is as a relationship, if you want the relationship to end you stop participating in it as if it still exists. This is where it is important to comprehend boundaries and how to uphold them, though this is too large of a topic to discuss here.

Legal Criteria for explicit contracts

1. Parties competent to contract

Whether between [wo]men or between corporate entities, bot parties must be of a standing where they are able to contract. This could be that they are of a certainly maturity, financially capable, or any other criteria demonstrating competence depending on the contract. There should also be no other pre-existing contracts with other parties that may conflict with the new contract, if there is, the other contracts must be resolved first.

2. Free and genuine consent

There must be no form of deceit or manipulation of any kind. Should any be revealed this makes the party who was deceitful liable for any damages cause as a result. This also mean if a party uses force or coercion of any kind the contract is null and void, making the forced party not liable for any damages.

3. Full disclosure

Both parties must openly disclose all pertinent elements of the contracts, such as any conflicting pre-existing contracts, the purpose of the contract, desires and intentions, and any other criteria that may be important to disclose to ensure both parties are making an informed decision.

4. Valuable consideration

There must be something of value exchanged. No one-sided contracts can exist, this would not make it a contract. Both parties must exchange something of value to the other party, whether time, money, or property.

5. Certainty of terms

Terms must not change without notice or mutual agreement regarding any changes made. They must also be clearly defined so as to remove any potential confusion regarding what is being exchanged.

6. Meeting of the minds

Essentially, a demonstration that both parties are in agreement

7. Autograph or Signatures

Autograph is for a [wo]man and Signature is for a person or corporation.

8. Privity of contracts

Parties must have the right or privilege to the property being exchanged. This can be done whether by ownership or transference of power to act on one's behalf.

Any contract can be ended the moment any party states there is not an agreement. However, in order to be lawfully/legally enforceable there must be clearly stated reason as to why it is to be ended. This is where clear communication is important as the it will strengthen any standing that is being taken.

In the context of a notice or declaration, by providing evidence alongside the statements made can become verified, and therefore irrefutable.

Breakdown of LAW

LAW can be broken down into three areas. Land, Air, and Water. Knowing how each applies to us as a living [wo]man is key to navigating the world we presently live in.

*Remember there is always the freedom of choice. That is your God given right and can never be taken away, even when you give that choice over to another. Also, it is important to remember he who creates rules, and this applies to all Law. The power of the lies on its foundation, the more closely it is to natural law the more stable the foundation.

With that being said, let's go through the different types of Law and see how they apply to life and the world we live in.

Land

It is to do with anything that is alive, physical or created. Its presence is self evident, like that of a house or anything we can create, such as a document. Somethings that do not appear as self-evident may require the appropriate awareness to perceive as such. It is what can be known as the "Aha"/light-bulb moments.

In law this pertains to all or any physical evidence that something exists, ie. Your body and ability to create is evidence that you are alive because nothing that is fictional or dead can do this. This can include any documentation, whether written, photographed, videographed, and so on. Clarity always takes priority in all forms of Law. The clearer one is able to see, touch, feel, smell, or comprehend something and is able to share this with another the stronger the evidence is.

In fact, all of creation is proof of the Laws on which God (Ultimate Supreme Being) created this world and therefore to know creation is to know Law. As such, Law was created through awareness and does not need to be written down.

Air

It is to do with anything pertaining to ideas, concepts, or creations of the mind. It is commonly known as Trust/ Equity law. This is because all trust/faith/intention starts in the mind. Without trust, the creative process does not occur. It is important to point out that trust is an expression of awareness. Learning how to direct or use your trust is crucial to be able to create. Without conscious trust, any attempt to create is simply what we know as destruction.

All things created must have trust/faith/intention in order for life to be breathed into it.

This is one of the jurisdictions the current legal system uses against the people. Just as turbulent

wind makes it hard to fly, so too do wavering intentions make it challenging to live with true and genuine purpose. By confusing the mind and intention, we are more easily convinced to place our power in the hands of another instead of, where it rightfully is, within you.

In creation, there is always a mutual transfer of Trust between the creator and the creation. The creator trusts that the creation will act in benefit of him/her. Likewise, the creation trusts that the creator will act in benefit of him/her.

Also in Trust Law, The creator (we the people) has a duty to clearly communicate any desires with the creation (public, governments, corporations) so that they can act in our Trust (Faith). In exchange for this Trust, the creation (governments, corporations) agrees to act in Benefit of the creator (we the people). However, this has been flipped on its head and this is due to the Government claiming ownership/care over our persons and our estates.

It is important to point out that this in no way overrules the first creation of our identity when we were born, whereby our mother and father created us and our identity, thus granting us the benefit of their estate. Your living presence is proof of this and so the moment you create and reclaim ownership over your person, anything the Government creates would be overruled. This is because the creation can never overrule the creator.

Water

It is to do with anything pertaining to emotions, feelings, intuition and the world of the heart.

The world of the heart is the place where all things created originate from. All things started with the awareness of a feeling, upon which the intention was based.

When these emotions become muddled or disturbed it makes it more challenging to have clear internal guidance (intuition). Without the clarity of emotions one cannot act or think with clarity. Just as one navigates the ocean by feeling the world both in and around them, so too does a

Clarity of emotions is also important because it helps define the pleasurable ones from the uncomfortable ones. This will also a [wo]man to navigate towards the one's [s]he enjoys, even if it means going through uncomfortable emotions.

*Now if you look over these Laws in reverse you will notice there is a pattern that emerges, and it is the Laws of Manifestation. In order to manifest/create anything one must first start with a clear desire (Water), then determine the intention and vision associated with that desire (Air), and then acting in the spirit of faith upon the vision so that it becomes a reality manifested in the physical (Land).

These laws also are what make up what is known as the genesis pattern, or the basis of all of creation. Upon this foundation, greater complexity and creations could be made. Just as when you first start creating something it is quite simple in definition at the beginning. However as you explore it the complexity grows and changes. So to did this occur with all other beings that has been, or will be, created.

Civil Law

Did you know that the Civil Law that makes up our elector, senate, and all codified governmental systems all around the world actually come from the Roman Empire and Roman Law?

This empire was know for being ruthless and full of corruption all throughout it years. While the people, known as CITIZENS, were the ones who elected the King, the King could never be overruled so long as he lived. He carried with him all the military and judicial power over all within his "domain". This meant he was could never be held liable no matter what atrocities he committed.

What is fascinating is this very empire was also the one that founded the ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH and the Vatican that it is operated through. Why this is important is it gives us ways of connecting the dots to where ever this influence and power lies, as well as where it does not. Have you ever noticed how the Federal Reserve is connected to the Vatican?

Take for example, the primary premise for which Civil and Roman Law is founded upon.

Both legal systems put Reason as the main basis for any code that may be applied. However, the domain of Reason is the mind, meaning this only falls under Trust Law.

Putting it into this context reveals that so long as there is a mental and emotional agreement, contract, this system will continue to exist. The moment we declare that we no longer agree to it, with proof of our statement and living status, we are no longer bound by its "codes".

Leaving this "Civil" world of codes not only places us back into the realm of common law (Land, Air, Water), but it also frees us of the confusion on which it was based upon. The confusion which has its roots dating all the way back to BABYLON, the first recorded incident where CODES were used as a means to govern.

So let's imagine this, people all around the world declaring, in writing, that they are no longer agree to or are bound by these CIVIL ROMAN LAWS, that actually create more confusion that clarity, and then become people of Common Natural Law once again. What this would do is then place the Burden of Proof on the shoulders of whomever should attempt to drag us back into that Civil system, for which they would have none (unless we pay, act with, or contract with any governmental agency). We also would then NATURALLY become people without a country, without borders, only bound by very simple laws (do no harm to your fellow [wo]man, or their property). In this we would still be able to use what ever legal tender (money) we like, support any businesses we desire, and anything else that suits our lives.

This would not only bring down the corrupt systems that may be in place, but it would also place the power back into our hands, the hands of the people.

All because we used the laws that are natural to all.

Legal Maxims

Taken from the book "A Collection of Legal Maxims" by S. S. Peloubet which was found in the Harvard Law Library

https://archive.org/details/acollectionlega00pelogoog

- 7. Things do not change ownership when captured by pirates and robbers.
- 12. Expect from others the same treatment that they receive from you.
- 55. The acts of a servant in which he is usually employed, is considered the act of his master.
- 27. External actions show the secret intentions.
- 32. An action does not make one guilty, unless the intention be bad.
- 53. An act itself does not make one guilty, unless done with guilty intent.
- 59. An admiralty court has no jurisdiction over those questions which are determined by the common law.
- 76. Equity is a kind of perfect reason which interprets and amends the written law comprehended in no code, but consistent with reason alone.
- 115. He who affirms, not he who denies, must bear the burden of proof.
- 44. The burden of proof lies with the plaintiff.
- 118. Parties both acting and consenting, are liable to the same punishment.
- 155. The owner of a thing should fix his price upon it.
- 224. Cease to reign, if you do not wish to adjudicate.
- 235. Charters are called "muniments" and "muniendo" because they fortify and defend the inheritance.

- 260. No man is punished for his thoughts.
- 60. It is the duty of the justices to administer justice to everyone seeking it from him.
- 259. The performing of one duty excuses the non-performance of another.
- 277. Some agreements are odious, but mostly those against matrimony and commerce.
- 287. Legal conscience is founded upon the law.
- 290. Consent constitutes law.
- 294. To consent a thing is to perform it.
- 331. The law never permits anything contrary to the truth.
- 339. The touching of property not one's own, with the intention to steal, is theft.
- 370. That which, when given through mistake, can be recovered back, when given with knowledge of the facts, becomes a gift.
- 429. Laws assist the deceived, not the deceiving.
- 457. Delays in law are odious.
- 459. Discretion is to know through law what is just.
- 473. By fraud or dole a contract perishes.
- 478. Deceit is an artifice, since it pretends one thing and does another.
- 479. Deceit and fraud shall excuse or benefit no man.
- 480. Deceit and fraud should always be remedied.
- 481. Wrongful intention is presumed against one engaged in an unlawful act.
- 512. Those things which, by way of commendation, are stated at sales, if they are openly apparent, do not bind the seller.

Further Resources

If you would like to further your knowledge of common law here is a collection from others who have created their own documents to help others learn.

Copy of the document "Living in the Private" https://cryptpad.fr/file/#/2/file/QwA6qNfoDQ+IrRu+BCsMOiG6/

- Link to the blog "Living in the Private" https://livingintheprivate.blogspot.com/p/home.html
- A great simple template to help you create any documents https://jessonthebrink.com/declaration-of-fact-to-reserve-your-rights/
- A man known as Christopher James, who has done incredible work helping this knowledge be shared far and wide https://awarriorcalls.com
- A great resource around being able to shift your beliefs and learning more about natural laws https://iasos.com/metaphys/bashar/